

# **Application News**

No. AD-0192

#### Protein Quantitation / LCMS-9030

## Direct Quantitation of Intact Proteins By Multiple Ion Chromatogram Method on Q-TOF Mass Spectrometer

Yonghai Lu and Zhaoqi Zhan Application Development & Support Centre, Shimadzu (Asia Pacific), Singapore

#### ☐ Introduction

With the growth of global protein therapeutic market, it is particularly important to accurately and precisely monitor the protein levels throughout the process of production, characterization, and clinical uses. Over the last 20 years, mass spectrometry has become a powerful analytical technique for protein study. In quantifying intact proteins, selected ion monitoring (SIM) [1] and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) [2] have been reported by using the triple-quadrupole (QQQ). Quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-TOF) is more sensitive and specific than QQQ, and it is commonly used in profiling analysis. However, due to a lower dynamic range, there is lack of quantitative studies for intact proteins by using Q-TOF. In this study, we aimed to investigate and evaluate the performance of multiple ion chromatogram (MIC) for quantification of intact proteins using Shimadzu LCMS-9030 Q-TOF mass spectrometer.

#### Experimental

#### A. Protein standards

Protein standard MSRT2 set including ribonuclease B (~15.0 kDa), insulin (5.8 kDa), lysozyme (14.3 kDa), and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin A (18.4 kDa) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ribonuclease B is a mix of five sialylated glycans (man5, 6, 7, 8, and 9).

#### B. Sample preparation and analytical conditions

Protein standards were dissolved in Milli-Q water with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (stock solution, 200  $\mu$ g/mL) and diluted to different working solutions for calibration curves, ranging from 1 to 200  $\mu$ g/mL. The samples were directly injected and analyzed by a Shimadzu LCMS-9030 Q-TOF mass spectrometer. The analytical conditions are shown in Table 1.

#### C. MIC method development

In MIC method development, three highest intensity ion signals were first selected from multiple-charged ion envelope of each protein, and further the most abundant monoisotopic mass from each respective multiple-charged ion was chosen and superposed as MIC, with 5 ppm tolerance of m/z. The procedure is shown in Figure 1, taking ribonuclease B (man5) as an example. The MIC settings are summarized in Table 2.

Table 1: Analytical conditions on LCMS-9030

LC conditions							
Column	:	ProteCol-G C8 (100 mm x 2.1 mm; 3 μm)					
Column temperature	:	50 °C					
Mobile phase A	:	0.1% TFA in water					
Mobile phase B	:	0.1% TFA in acetonitrile					
Flow rate	:	0.3 mL/min					
Gradient program	:	Time (min)	%B				
		0.0	20				
		20.0 20.5	60 80				
		23.5	80				
		24	20				
Injection volume	:	5 μL					
MS conditions							
Interface	:	Heated EST					
Interface voltage	:	4 kV					
Interface temperature	:	300 °C					
Nebulizing gas	:	Nitrogen, 3.0 L/min					
Heating gas flow	:	Zero Air, 10.0 L/min					
DL temperature	:	250 °C					
Drying gas flow	:	Nitrogen, 15.0 L/min					
Heat block temperature	:	400 °C					
MS mode	:	Positive					
Mass range	:	1000 – 3500 m/z					
			•				

Table 2: MIC settings for intact proteins with 5 ppm tolerance

Protein	MIC No.	Peaks	Start m/z	End m/z
Ribonuclease B (man5)	MIC1	1 2 3	1863.3333 2129.3796 2484.1092	1863.3519 2129.4008 2484.1340
Ribonuclease B (man6)	MIC2	1 2 3	1883.5913 2152.6760 2511.1178	1883.6101 2152.6976 2511.1430
Ribonuclease B (man7)	MIC3	1 2 3	1903.9708 2175.8233 2538.2928	1903.9898 2175.8451 2538.3182
Ribonuclease B (man8)	MIC4	1 2 3	1924.2276 2198.9763 2565.3020	1924.2468 2198.9983 2565.3276
Ribonuclease B (man9)	MIC5	1 2 3	1944.4848 2221.9890 2592.1436	1944.5042 2222.0112 2592.1696
Insulin	MIC6	1 2 3	1162.5307 1452.9133 1936.8812	1162.5423 1452.9279 1936.9006
Lysozyme	MIC7	1 2 3	2044.5438 2385.1347 2861.9615	2044.5642 2385.1585 2861.9901
β-Lactoglobulin A	MIC8	1 2 3	1670.4030 1837.3422 2041.3810	1670.4198 1837.3606 2041.4014




Fig. 1 The procedure for multiple ion chromatogram (MIC) development, taking ribonuclease B (man5) as an example.

#### Results and Discussion

Six-point calibration curves for the proteins (except insulin, 4 points) were generated in duplicates (Figure 2). Linearities, linear range, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), accuracy, precision and matrix effect were determined (Table 3). Respective

linearities (R² >0.997) were achieved for ribonuclease B (man5, 6, 7, and 8), lysozyme, and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin A in the range of 5 – 200  $\mu$ g/mL, ribonuclease B (man9) in the range of 20 – 200  $\mu$ g/mL, and insulin in the range of 2 – 50  $\mu$ g/mL. Calibration linear ranges were different for

all proteins because of their different response factors. LOD and LOQ for the proteins ranged from 0.32 to 5.40  $\mu$ g/mL and 1.13 to 17.99  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively (Figure 3). The accuracy and precision tests were performed at low

(n = 4) and medium (n = 4) concentrations within their respective calibration ranges. The errors in accuracy are under 20%, and the prevision is demonstrated with less than 15% RSD.




Fig. 2 Calibration curves of intact proteins




Figure 3 Determination of LOQ of intact proteins by MIC method on LCMS-9030

Table 3: Evaluation of method performance for MIC-based intact protein quantification on LCMS-9030

Protein	Linearity (R <sup>2</sup> )	Linear range (µg/mL)	LOD (µg/mL)	LOQ (µg/mL)	Prepared conc (µg/mL)	. Measured conc. (μg/mL)	Accuracy (%)	Prevision (% RSD)	Matrix effect Serum (%)	Matrix effect Urine (%)
Ribonuclease B (man5)	0.9994	5 - 200	0.63	2.10	20	18.73 ± 0.63 (n=4)	93.67	5.41	153	140
					100	102.28 ± 0.71 (n=4)	102.28	0.75		
Ribonuclease B (man6)	0.9994	5 – 200	0.63	2.10	20	18.84 ± 0.29 (n=4)	94.22	2.38	149	135
					100	103.06 ± 0.82 (n=4)	103.06	0.85		
Ribonuclease B (man7)	0.9983	5 – 200	0.91	3.04	20	17.64 ± 0.77 (n=4)	88.21	8.05	163	141
					100	102.44 ± 1.78 (n=4)	102.44	1.89		
Ribonuclease B (man8)	0.9981	5 – 200	1.35	4.50	20	18.91 ± 0.45 (n=4)	94.55	4.41	155	143
					100	102.07 ± 1.65 (n=4)	102.07	1.77		
Ribonuclease B (man9)	0.9983	20 - 200	5.40	17.99	20	22.88 ± 1.02 (n=4)	114.38	12.22	165	141
					100	102.19 ± 3.36 (n=4)	102.19	3.84		
Insulin	0.9976	2 - 50	0.51	1.71	6	7.17 ± 0.03 (n=4)	119.46	0.82		
					20	17.02 ± 0.97 (n=3)	85.12	6.97	182	158
Lysozyme	0.9980	5 - 200	1.08	3.59	20	17.26 ± 0.58 (n=4)	86.30	3.77	172	137
					100	106.98 ± 0.61 (n=4)	106.98	0.58		
β-Lactoglobulin A	0.9982	5 - 200	0.34	1.13	20	16.25 ± 0.30 (n=4)	81.27	2.41	123	132
					100	98.39 ± 0.67 (n=4)	98.27	0.71		

The matrix effect is an important consideration when quantifying analytes from biological samples using ESI mass spectrometry. In this study, human serum and urine were used as sample matrices to evaluate the specificity and the feasibility of the MIC method. Matrix effect was evaluated at a concentration of 20 µg/mL. A general increase in response for all the eight proteins was observed both in serum and urine matrices. In such cases of direct quantification of intact proteins using the introduced MIC method, it is important to assess the prevalence of matrix effects. However, this is no different than standard best practices used for MRM methods.

#### □ Conclusions

We propose a straightforward method based on the MIC of Q-TOF mass spectrometer to directly and quickly quantify intact proteins without the need for enzymatic digestion and purification. By combining several multiple-charged ion signals of an intact protein with high resolution TOF mass spectrometry, the methodology demonstrated the feasibility for use in quantifying intact proteins ranging from few thousands to 18.4 kDa, and possibly extended to higher mass. The results of method performance evaluation indicates good accuracy and precision, and high sensitivity – down to 2  $\mu g/mL$ .

#### □ Reference

- Mao Y, Moore RJ, Wagnon KB, Pierce JT, Debban KH, Smith CS, Dill JA, Fuciarelli AF, "Analysis of α2u-globulin in rat urine and kidneys by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry", Chem. Res. Toxicol. 11 (1998): 953-961.
- Wang EH, Combe PC, Schug KA, "Multiple Reaction Monitoring for Direct Quantitation of Intact Proteins Using a Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer", J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 27 (2016): 886-896.



Shimadzu Corporation www.shimadzu.com/an/

Contents and/or instrumentations in this application may not available in some countries under each regulation.

Please contact the local representatives in details.

SHIMADZU (Asia Pacific) Pte. Ltd, www.shimadzu.com.sg

## **Related Products** Some products may be updated to newer models.



### **Related Solutions**



- > Price Inquiry
- > Product Inquiry
- Technical Service /
  Support Inquiry
- Other Inquiry