Application News

Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer GCMS-TQ™8050 NX, HS-20

Trace Level Quantitation of 6 Nitrosamines in Metformin API by Dynamic Headspace GC-MS/MS

Sanket Chiplunkar, Dheeraj Handique, Prashant Hase, Durvesh Sawant, Nitish Suryawanshi Aseem Wagle, Rahul Dwivedi, Jitendra Kelkar and Pratap Rasam Shimadzu Analytical (India) Pvt. Ltd.

User Benefits

- Dynamic headspace equipped with GC-MS/MS was used for trace level quantitation of Nitrosamines in Metformin API
- ◆ GCMS-TQ8050 NX system equipped with HS-20 easily meets the criteria as per the regulatory guidelines on Nitrosamines
- ◆ Compared to static headspace, dynamic headspace has advantage in trace level detection of Nitrosamines

■ Introduction

Overview: Regulatory bodies related to pharmaceutical industry had extensively investigated the presence of genotoxic impurities, called Nitrosamines (NSA), in many drugs. Metformin (Figure 1) is a prescription drug used to control high blood sugar in patients with type 2 diabetes. Patients should continue taking Metformin to keep their diabetes under control and hence it is imperative to make Metformin drug available with safe levels of NSA.

 $\begin{array}{c|c}
NH & NH \\
N & NH_2 \\
H & H
\end{array}$

Figure 1: Structure of Metformin

NSA and their Limits: NSA are organic compounds of the chemical structure R2N-N=O, where R is usually an alkyl group. These are common chemicals found in water and foods including cured or grilled meats, dairy products and vegetables. Foods and drugs which are metabolized in human body, are also able to generate NSA. Thus, everyone is exposed to some level of NSA. These impurities may increase the risk of cancer if the exposure is above acceptable levels for a longer period. Regulatory counterparts around the world, has set internationally-recognized acceptable daily intake limits for NSA. If drugs contain levels of NSA above the acceptable daily intake limit, regulatory body recommends their recall by the manufacturer.

NSA can make their way into drug substance/product from varied sources. The sources of NSA can be related to the drug manufacturing process or its chemical structure or even the conditions in which they are stored or packaged.

Toxicity/Regulations/Method: The control strategy described in the USFDA industry guidance on NSA can be employed for Metformin Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) & Finished Dosage Form (FDF) as well. These limits are applicable only if the API or FDF having Maximum Daily Dose (MDD) of 880 mg/day contains a single NSA, and lowest of which is 30 ppb. If more than one NSA is identified, the limit for total NSA determined as listed in Table 1 should not be more than 26.5 ng/day or 30 ppb.

Hence, it is imperative to determine any NSA with Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) for total NSA below 30 ppb. Developing a method for determining total NSA < 30 ppb in API & FDF creates challenges in pharmaceutical industry.

Following are the Acceptable Intake (AI) limits for NSA in drug substance/drug product with MDD of 880 mg/day (Table 1).

Table 1: Al limits for NSA

Comp.	Al limit (ng/day)	Limit in ppm for MDD 880 mg/day
NDMA	96.0	0.109
NMBA	96.0	0.109
NDEA	26.5	0.030
NEIPA	26.5	0.030
NMPA	26.5	0.030
NDIPA	26.5	0.030

There are several regulatory methodologies available, one such is USP General Chapter <1469> procedure-2 which makes use of static headspace.

For more details on static headspace GC-MS/MS analysis of Metformin API & FDF, please refer following

Application News: 06-SAIP-085-GC-026-EN

However, the results obtained here using dynamic headspace GC-MS/MS proved to be equally precise, accurate & even more sensitive as compared to static headspace GC-MS/MS.

This application note aims to provide a part validated analysis method using Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8050 NX with HS-20 dynamic headspace (Figure 2) for trace level quantitation for following NSA.

- 1) N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA)
- 2) N-nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA)
- 3) N-nitrosoethylisopropylamine (NEIPA)
- 4) N-nitrosodiisopropylamine (NDIPA)
- 5) N-nitrosodipropylamine (NDPA)
- 6) N-nitrosodibutylamine (NDBA)

Summary of validation parameters is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of validation parameters

Parameters	;	Conc. in ppb (as such)	Conc. In ppb (w.r.t. sample)
System Precis	ion	0.10	1.0
Precision a LO	DQ DQ	0.05/0.1	0.5/1.0
Linearity		0.05 to 0.4	0.5 to 4.0
Accuracy		0.05 to 0.4	0.5 to 4.0

w.r.t. sample = with respect to sample (concentration 10% w/v)



Figure 2: GCMS-TQ™8050 NX with HS-20

■ Experimental

A mixture of NDMA, NDEA, NEIPA, NDIPA, NDPA and NDBA standards (1 ppm) was analyzed using scan mode for identification. Steps such as precursor ion selection and MRM optimization at different Collision Energies (CE) were performed and method with optimum MRM and their CE in segments was generated.

The optimized MRM method was used for part method validation (As per ICH guidelines).

■ Method

The MRM transitions of 6 NSA standards are given in Table 3 and analytical conditions are in Table 4.

Table 3: MRM transitions of NSA

MRM Transitions					
Comp.	MRM-1	CE-1	MRM-2	CE-2	
NDMA	74.00>44.10	5	74.00>42.10	14	
NDEA	102.00>85.10	5	102.00>56.10	14	
NEIPA	116.00>99.10	5	71.00>56.10	5	
NDIPA	130.00>88.10	5	130.10>42.20	14	
NDPA	130.10>113.10	6	130.10>43.20	18	
NDBA	116.00>99.10	5	158.00>99.00	7	

Table 4: Analytical conditions

GCMS System	: GCMS-TQ805	0 NX with HS	-20 (Dynamic)
Column Injection Mode Flow Control Mode Carrier Gas Column Flow Linear Velocity Split Ratio Purge Flow Total Flow Temp. Program	: WAX ms 30 m : Split : Column Flow : Helium : 4.0 mL/min : 71.9 cm/sec : 10:1 : 5 mL/min : 49 mL/min Ramp Rate (°C/min)	Temp. (°C) 35.00 105.00 200.00	Hold Time (min) 2.00 1.17 0.00
	30	240.00	12.00
Diluent	: Water (MS Gr	ade)	
	MS Parameters	;	
Ionization Mode Ion Source Temp. Interface Temp. CID Gas	: Electron Ioniza : 240 °C : 240 °C : Argon HS Parameters	ation (EI)	
Oven Tomp			
Oven Temp. Sample Line Temp. Transfer Line Temp. Trap Cooling Temp. Trap Desorb Temp. Trap Equilib. Temp. Shaking Level Multi Inj. Count Pressurizing Gas Pressure	: 110 °C : 170 °C : 190 °C : -15 °C : 260 °C : -15 °C : 5 : 1 : 192.0 kPa		
Dry Purge Gas Pressure	: 0.0 kPa		
Equilibrating Time Pressurizing Time Pressure Equilib. Time	: 20.00 min : 1.00 min : 0.10 min		
Load Time Load Equilib. Time Dry Purge Time Injection Time Needle Flush Time	: 0.50 min : 0.10 min : 0.00 min : 25.00 min : 25.00 min		

· 55 00 min

GC Cvcle Time

■ Linearity Solutions

Standard solutions for linearity were prepared in headspace vial as mentioned in Table 5.

Table 5: Linearity standard solution preparations

Linearity Levels	Linearity stock Conc. in (ppb)	Volume of standard stock (µL)	Volume of water (µL)	As such sample Conc. in (ppb)
Level - 1	5	10	990	0.05
Level - 2	10	10	990	0.1
Level - 3	20	10	990	0.2
Level - 4	30	10	990	0.3
Level - 5	40	10	990	0.4

■ Sample Analysis

Weigh 100 mg (\pm 10%) of Metformin API and add 300 mg (\pm 10%) of Na₂CO₃ in a 20 mL headspace vial. Add 1000 uL of water, crimp the vial with cap septa tightly and inject.

■ Spiked Recovery Test

Weigh 100 mg (\pm 10%) of Metformin API and add 300 mg (\pm 10%) of Na₂CO₃ in a headspace vial. Further add 1000 uL of respective linearity solution, crimp the vial with cap septa tightly and inject.

Figure 3 to 8 depicts the calibration curve, overlay of linearity standards, LOQ level chromatograms for NDMA, NDEA, NEIPA, NDIPA, NDPA & NDBA respectively.




Figure 3: Calibration curve, overlay of linearity standards & chromatogram of LOQ solution for NDMA




Figure 4: Calibration curve, overlay of linearity standards & chromatograph of LOQ solution for NDEA




Figure 5: Calibration curve, overlay of linearity standards & chromatogram of LOQ solution for NEIPA




Figure 6: Calibration curve, overlay of linearity standards & chromatogram of LOQ solution for NDIPA

NDPA




Figure 7: Calibration curve, overlay of linearity standards & chromatogram of LOQ solution for NDPA

NDBA




Figure 8: Calibration curve, overlay of linearity standards & chromatogram of LOQ solution for NDBA

■ Validation Parameters

System Precision:

Weigh 300 mg ($\pm 10\%$) of Na₂CO₃ in a headspace vial. Further, add 1000 uL of level-2 linearity solution, crimp the vial with cap septa tightly & inject (Table 6).

Table 6: Summary for system precision (n=6)

Comp.	Conc. in ppb (as such)	Conc. in ppb (w.r.t sample)	% RSD of area
NDMA	0.1	1.0	7.5
NDEA	0.1	1.0	6.6
NEIPA	0.1	1.0	11.8
NDIPA	0.1	1.0	7.1
NDPA	0.1	1.0	9.7
NDBA	0.1	1.0	6.2

RSD = Relative Standard Deviation

Precision at LOQ Level:

Weigh 300 mg ($\pm 10\%$) of Na₂CO₃ in a headspace vial. Further, add 1000 uL of level-1 linearity solution, crimp the vial with cap septa tightly & inject.

Summary for S/N and % RSD (area) at LOQ level standard solutions are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Summary for LOQ system precision (n=6)

Comp.	Conc. in ppb (as Such)	Conc. in ppb (w.r.t sample)	% RSD of area	S/N^
NDMA*	0.10	1.0	7.5	20
NDEA	0.05	0.5	6.5	321
NEIPA	0.05	0.5	5.7	636
NDIPA	0.05	0.5	14.6	77
NDPA	0.05	0.5	10.6	230
NDBA	0.05	0.5	10.7	171

^{^ =} Peak to peak

Linearity:

Weigh 300 mg ($\pm 10\%$) of Na₂CO₃ in a 20 mL headspace vial. Further, add 1000 uL of respective linearity solution, crimp the vial with cap septa tightly & inject.

For quantitation, four-point calibration curve for NDMA (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 & 0.4 ppb) & five-point calibration curve for NDEA, NEIPA, NDIPA, NDPA & NDBA (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 & 0.4 ppb) were plotted.

Summary of linearity standard solutions is shown in Table 8.

Table 8: Result summary for linearity (n=3)

Comp.	r ²	Conc. in ppb (as such)	Conc. in ppb (w.r.t. sample)
NDMA	0.997	0.1 to 0.4	1.0 to 4.0
NDEA	0.994		
NEIPA	0.997	0.05	0.5
NDIPA	0.995	to	to
NDPA	0.991	0.40	4.0
NDBA	0.989		

Accuracy:

For accuracy study, Metformin samples were diluted with 1000 uL of respective linearity solutions to get spiked concentration of 0.05, 0.1, 0.3 & 0.4 ppb (as such) in 20 mL headspace vial.

However, high interference was observed at retention time of NDMA from Metformin API in presence of Na_2CO_3 hence, accuracy study was not carried out for NDMA.

For low level quantification of NDMA in Metformin, different salts such as Ammonium Sulfate/Sodium Chloride can be used instead of Na_2CO_3 , keeping analytical method parameters same.

Accuracy study for NDEA, NEIPA, NDIPA, NDPA & NDBA is summarized in Table 9, 10, 11 & 12.

Table 9: Summary for recovery at 0.05 ppb (n=3)

rable 5. Sammary for receivery at eless pps (i. s)					
Comp.	Amount spiked (ppb)	Amount in sample (ppb)	Amount obtained (ppb)	% Average Accuracy	
NDEA		BLOQ	0.043	86	
NEIPA	0.05	BLOQ	0.053	106	
NDIPA	(as such)	BLOQ	0.053	106	
NDPA		BLOQ	0.057	114	
NDBA		BLOQ	0.053	106	

BLOQ = Below Limit Of Quantitation

Table 10: Summary for recovery at 0.1 ppb (n=3)

Comp.	Amount spiked (ppb)	Amount in sample (ppb)	Amount obtained (ppb)	% Average Accuracy
NDEA		BLOQ	0.101	101
NEIPA	0.1	BLOQ	0.120	120
NDIPA	(as such)	BLOQ	0.127	127
NDPA		BLOQ	0.133	133
NDBA		BLOQ	0.098	98

^{* =} Data is taken from Table 6

Table 11: Summary for recovery at 0.3 ppb (n=3)

Comp.	Amount spiked (ppb)	Amount in sample (ppb)	Amount obtained (ppb)	% Average Accuracy
NDEA		BLOQ	0.266	89
NEIPA	0.3	BLOQ	0.298	99
NDIPA	(as such)	BLOQ	0.311	104
NDPA		BLOQ	0.316	105
NDBA		BLOQ	0.280	93

Table 12: Summary for recovery at 0.4 ppb (n=3)

Comp.	Amount spiked (ppb)	Amount in sample (ppb)	Amount obtained (ppb)	% Average Accuracy
NDEA		BLOQ	0.401	100
NEIPA	0.4	BLOQ	0.448	112
NDIPA	(as such)	BLOQ	0.493	123
NDPA		BLOQ	0.486	121
NDBA		BLOQ	0.417	104

Comparison between Dynamic & Static headspace:

Comparison study between dynamic & static headspace analysis is summarized in Table 13 & 14.

Table 13: Summary for dynamic headspace analysis

Dynamic Headspace (Trap)							
Comp.	LOQ (n=6)						
	Conc. in ppb (as such)	Conc. in ppb (w.r.t sample)	S/N	% RSD			
NDMA	0.10	1.0	20	7.5			
NDEA	0.05	0.5	321	6.5			
NEIPA	0.05	0.5	636	5.7			
NDIPA	0.05	0.5	76	14.6			
NDBA	0.05	0.5	171	10.7			

w.r.t. sample = with respect to sample (concentration 10% w/v)

Table 14: Summary for static headspace analysis

Static Headspace (Loop)						
Comp.	LOQ n=6)					
	Conc. in ppb (as such)	Conc. in ppb (w.r.t sample)	S/N	% RSD		
NDMA	0.99	3.3	51	4.9		
NDEA	0.99	3.3	103	9.1		
NEIPA	0.99	3.3	235	7.0		
NDIPA	0.99	3.3	255	10.6		
NDBA	0.99	3.3	366	4.4		

w.r.t. sample = with respect to sample (concentration 30% w/v)

For more details on static headspace GC-MS/MS analysis of Metformin API & FDF, please refer following

Application News: 06-SAIP-085-GC-026-EN

■ Results

- Trace level quantification of 5 NSA impurities in Metformin API was successfully performed by using Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8050 NX with HS-20 headspace sampler (Dynamic mode)
- Repeatability for all 6 NSA at LOQ level was found to be less than 15.0% (Table 7)
- The correlation coefficient (r²) was greater than 0.988 for all NSA (Table 8)
- Accuracy study in terms of spiked recovery was carried out at 0.05, 0.1, 0.3 and 0.4 ppb levels that matched the acceptance criteria between 80 to 135 % (Table 9, 10, 11 & 12)

■ Conclusion

- Dynamic headspace mode, outperforms the current regulatory limits, delivering 10 to 20 times more sensitivity compared to static headspace mode for NSA analysis.
- Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8050 NX features a new highly efficient detector and superior noise reduction technology that enhance sensitivity and enables quantitation of NSA even at trace levels.

GCMS-TQ is a trademark of Shimadzu Corporation in Japan and/or other countries.



Shimadzu Corporation www.shimadzu.com/an/

Shimadzu Analytical (India) Pvt.Ltd. www.shimadzu.in

For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures.
This publication may contain references to products that are not available in your country. Please contact us to check the availability of

First Edition: July 2021


06-SAIP-084-GC-025-EN

these products in your country.

The content of this publication shall not be reproduced, altered or sold for any commercial purpose without the written approval of

See http://www.shimadzu.com/about/trademarks/index.html for details. Third party trademarks and trade names may be used in this publication to refer to either the entities or their products/services, whether or not they are used with trademark symbol "TM" or "®". The information contained herein is provided to you "as is" without warranty of any kind including without limitation warranties as to its accuracy or completeness. Shimadzu does not assume any responsibility or liability for any damage, whether direct or indirect, relating to the use of this publication. This publication is based upon the information available to Shimadzu on or before the date of publication, and subject to change without notice

Related Products Some products may be updated to newer models.



Related Solutions

- > Small Molecule Pharmaceutical
 - > Price Inquiry
- > Product Inquiry
- > Technical Service / Support Inquiry
- Other Inquiry