

Application News

PFAS in Food Contact Materials (FCM) / LCMS-8050

Analysis of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Fast Food Packaging by LC-MS/MS Method

Elyson Keith P. Encarnacion¹, Anne C. Alcantara¹, Harold E. Armario¹, Winnie P. Alejandro¹, Zhaoqi Zhan², Zhe Sun², Lin Ng³

1 Industrial Technology Development Institute, Philippines, 2 Shimadzu (Asia Pacific), Singapore 3 Internship Student from School of Chemical & Life Sciences, Singapore Polytechnic

User Benefits

- ◆ A direct LC-MS/MS method was established for quantitative determination of 15 targeted PFAS compounds in food contact materials (FCM) using LCMS-8050.
- ◆ The results show that 12 out of 15 targeted PFAS were present in seven fast food packaging samples. The concentrations of the PFAS were far below the limit set by the Danish Ministry of Environmental and Food Guideline in 2015.

■ Introduction

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) have been detected in waters, soils, sediments, fish, foods and human blood among others. Recently, PFAS were reported in disposable fast food packaging such as paper wrappers, paperboard clamshells and beverage cups [1,2]. In 2015, the Danish Ministry of Environmental and Food set a guideline to limit the maximum total organic fluorine to 0.35 ug/dm² for food contact materials (FCM) [3]. This value corresponds to 0.5 ug of PFOA/dm². However, the same organization has banned the use of all fluorinated substances in FCM since 2020 [4].

Targeted screening and quantitation of PFAS in drinking water by LC-MS/MS are well established with reference to the US EPA Method 537 [5]. Publications on PFAS analysis in FCM have become available in recent years. Laurel A. Schaider et al. [6] reported their results of PFAS analysis using PIGE and LC-HRMS methods on over 400 FCM samples. In this Application News, an LC-MS/MS method for the detection and quantitation of 15 PFAS, including PFOA and PFOS, in fast food packaging samples is presented. The same sample pre-treatment used by Schaider et al. [6] was adopted to extract PFAS from the samples.

(1) Cutting of FCM sample (100 dm²) (2) Extraction of PFAS in 20 mL of MeOH (3) Condition & Cleanup by SPE (4) Evaporation & Reconstitution

Figure 1 Flowchart of sample pre-treatment for PFAS in food contact materials (FCM).

with $\rm N_2$ on a TurboVap LV evaporator (Biotag). The dried sample was reconstituted with 0.8 mL of 5 mM ammonium acetate solution and transferred into a 1.5 mL glass vial for LC-MS analysis (Fig. 1)

LC-MS/MS analytical conditions

Details of the analytical conditions for PFAS using LCMS-8050 (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan) with a Shim-pack Velox C18 column are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Analytical conditions of PFAS on LCMS-8050

■ Experimental

Reagents and PFAS Standards

Acetonitrile (LC-MS grade) and methanol (LC-MS grade) were obtained from commercial suppliers. Ammonium acetate (>99%) of LC-MS grade was used as additive in the mobile phase prepared with Milli-Q water. Fifteen PFAS standards (Table 2) were purchased from Wellington Laboratories and Apollo Scientific. M-PFOS (with $^{13}\mathrm{C_4}$) and M-PFOA (with $^{13}\mathrm{C_4}$) were used as internal standards during method development.

Sample preparation

Seven FCM samples including paper wrappers, paperboard clamshells and beverage cups were cut into 10 cm x 10 cm (100 cm²) and weighed. Each sample was further cut into smaller pieces for extraction and immersed in 20 mL of MeOH in a polypropylene (PP) centrifuge tube. Approximately 4 mL of the extract was cleaned using Supelclean™ ENVI-Carb™ SPE (6 mL/500 mg). The collected extract was evaporated to dryness

| LC Conditions | |
|----------------|--|
| Column | Shim-pack Velox TM , C18 (2.1 X 100 mm, 2.7 µm) |
| Flow Rate | 0.4 mL/min |
| Mobile Dhase | A: 5 mM Ammonium acetate in water |
| Mobile Phase | B: Acetonitrile |
| Elution mode | Gradient elution, 12 mins |
| Oven Temp. | 40°C |
| Injection Vol. | 10 μL |
| | |

Interface Conditions Interface Heated ESI Interface Temp. 300°C DL Temp. 250°C Heat Block Temp. 400°C Nebulizing Gas 2 L/min Heating Gas Flow 10 L/min Drying Gas Flow 10 L/min MS mode MRM, negative mode

■ Results and Discussion

MRM Method Setup

An LC-MS/MS method was developed for the detection and quantitation of 15 PFAS compounds in negative MRM mode (Table 2). A mixed standard was prepared from individual stock solutions to generate calibration curves. Approximately 40 μL of each PFAS stock solution (50 ppm) was transferred into a 1.5 mL LC sample vial and diluted with 400 μL of pure water, resulting in a 2 ppm mixed standard. Calibration series, with or without internal standards (M-PFOS and M-PFOA), were prepared from the mixed standard using pure water as the diluent.

Two MRM transitions were optimized for each compound except for PFBA and PFPeA with only one (Table 2).




Figure 2 MRM peaks of 15 PFAS standards (1 ppb each).

With an optimized LC gradient elution, all 15 PFAS were eluted within 8 mins (Figure 2).

Table 2 MRM parameters, retention times and calibration curve ranges of 15 PFAS using LCMS-8050

| Event # | FPFAS (Abbr.) | Formula | CAS No. | Exact Mass | MRM (quantifier) | CE (V) | RT (min) | Range (ng/mL) | R ² |
|---------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|--------|----------|------------------|----------------|
| 1 | PFBA | C4HF7O2 | 375-22-4 | 214.0 | 212.9>169.1 | 10 | 1.76 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.999 |
| 2 | PFPeA | C5HF9O2 | 2706-90-3 | 264.0 | 262.9>219.1 | 8 | 3.05 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.999 |
| 3 | PFBS | C4F9SO3H | 29420-49-3 | 300.0 | 298.9>79.9 | 31 | 3.79 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.993 |
| 4 | PFHxA | C6HO2F11 | 307-24-4 | 314.0 | 313.0>269.1 | 9 | 3.81 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.998 |
| 5 | PFHpA | C7HF13O2 | 375-85-9 | 364.0 | 362.9>319.1 | 10 | 4.37 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.999 |
| 7 | PFOA | C8HF15O2 | 335-67-1 | 414.0 | 413.0>369.1 | 10 | 4.86 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.999 |
| 8 | PFHxS | C6F13HO3S | 82382-12-5 | 399.9 | 398.9>79.9 | 45 | 4.96 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.996 |
| 9 | PFNA | C9HF17O2 | 375-95-1 | 464.0 | 463.0>419.0 | 10 | 5.30 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.998 |
| 10 | PF-3,7-DMOA | C10HF19O2 | 172155-07-6 | 514.0 | 469.0>269.1 | 22 | 5.45 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.996 |
| 11 | PFDA | C10HF19O2 | 335-76-2 | 514.0 | 513.0>469.1 | 11 | 5.70 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.987 |
| 13 | PFOS | C8F17O3HS | 4021-47-0 | 499.9 | 499.0>79.9 | 54 | 5.84 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.999 |
| 14 | PFUnA | C11HF21O2 | 2058-94-8 | 564.0 | 563.0>519.1 | 11 | 6.09 | 0.1 ~ 10 | 0.952 |
| 15 | PFDS | C10HF21SO3 | 2806-15-7 | 599.9 | 599.0>79.9 | 55 | 6.62 | 0.2 ~ 10 | 0.988 |
| 16 | PFTrA | C13HO2F25 | 72629-94-8 | 664.0 | 663.0>619.0 | 13 | 6.84 | 0.5 ~ 10 | 0.996 |
| 17 | PFTeA | C14HO2F27 | 376-06-7 | 714.0 | 712.9>668.9 | 13 | 7.20 | 1 ~ 10 | 0.988 |




Figure 3 Individual MRM peaks of 15 PFAS compounds at the lowest calibration levels (refer to Table 2) using LCMS-8050

Calibration curves of 15 PFAS

Linear calibration curves were established with or without internal standards. Table 2 shows the R2 values and ranges without the use of internal standards. The LODs of the method are lower than 0.1 ppb for most PFAS except for PFDS, PFTrA and PFTeA. The MRM peaks of the lowest calibration levels are shown in Figure 3.

Results of PFAS in fast food packaging

Seven fast food packaging samples (Table 3) were analysed using the validated LC-MS/MS method with external calibration. Sample pre-treatment described previously (Figure 1) was employed using MeOH for extraction and SPE for removal of pigments, dyes etc. The same pure water (Milli-Q) for preparing the standards was used as blank in the batch run of LC-MS/MS analysis.

Table 3 Fast food packaging samples for PFAS screening by LC-MS/MS method

| S. No. | FCM Type | Description | Weight (mg/100 cm²) | |
|--------|------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| P1 | paper | wrapping paper | 302.4 | |
| P2 | paper | pouch | 551.3 | |
| Р3 | paper | wrapping paper | 306.5 | |
| P4 | paperboard | clamshell | 2686.9 | |
| P5 | paperboard | clamshell | 2831.0 | |
| P6 | paperboard | clamshell | 2765.6 | |
| P7 | paperboard | beverage cup | 2406.2 | |

Each sample was analysed in triplicate using LC-MS/MS to ensure repeatability of results (RSD < 10%). The average results of the seven fast food packaging samples are shown in Table 4, while PFAS profiles of P1, P3 and P6 are shown in Figure 4. Multiple PFAS were found in every sample. PFOA, a PFAS banned under the POPs regulation in 2020, was detected in every sample ranging from 0.19 ng/dm² to 1.69 ng/dm². In contrast, PFOS was not detected in all the samples. The highest amount PFAS found is PF-3,7-DMOA (up to 53.03 ng/dm²). It is worth to note that the total amounts of the 15 PFAS measured range from 6.07 ng/dm² (P3) to 95.9 ng/dm² (P1), which levels are far below the limit set by the Danish Ministry of Environment and Food in 2015 for total organic fluorine (0.35 ug/dm²) in food contact materials (FCM). Laurel A. Schaider et al. [6] reported various fluorinated compounds, including known PFAS, in 20 FCM samples by LC-HRMS, with 70% having a total fluorine level greater than 200 nmol/cm².

■ Conclusion

An LC-MS/MS method was established for quantitative determination of 15 PFAS compounds in food contact materials using LCMS-8050. Twelve (12) out of the 15 PFAS compounds studied were present in seven fast food packaging samples. The total amounts of PFAS (6.07-95.9 ng/dm²) were far below the limit set by Danish Ministry of Environment and Food in 2015.




Figure 4 PFAS detected in P1, P3 and P6 samples.

Table 4 Types and amounts of PFAS in seven fast food packaging samples

| PFAS | PFAS Content (ng/dm²) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| (Abbr.) | P1 | P2 | Р3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | |
| PFBA | 19.89 | 2.59 | 2.59 | 4.43 | 6.32 | 7.02 | 3.93 | |
| PFPeA | 10.93 | 1.46 | 1.47 | 1.87 | 2.48 | 3.20 | 2.1 | |
| PFBS | - | - | - | - | - | 0.32 | - | |
| PFHxA | 30.47 | 1.68 | 1.15 | 2.91 | 3.66 | 5.33 | 2.42 | |
| PFHpA | 2.58 | 0.44 | 0.21 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0.53 | |
| PFOA | 1.69 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.73 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.24 | |
| PFHxS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| PFNA | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0.17 | |
| PF-3,7- DMOA | 28.98 | 0.36 | 0.2 | 2.14 | 1.41 | 53.03 | 0.56 | |
| PFDA | 0.92 | 0.36 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.59 | 1.73 | 0.51 | |
| PFOS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| PFUnA | 0.12 | - | - | - | - | 0.07 | - | |
| PFDS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| PFTrA | - | - | - | 0.92 | 1.23 | 0.91 | - | |
| PFTeA | - | - | - | 1.73 | 1.96 | 2.24 | | |
| Total | 95.9 | 7.23 | 6.07 | 17.88 | 18.47 | 75.17 | 10.45 | |

References

- Strakova, J., Cingotti, N., et al., "Throwaway packing, Forever Chemicals: European wide survey of PFAS in disposable food packaging and tableware", May 2021.
- Trier, X et al., "Polyfluorinated surfactants (PFS) in paper and board coatings for food packaging", Env Sci Pollut Res 2011; 18(7):1108-20.
- Ministry of Environment and Food of Denmark, "Fluorinated substances in paper and board food contact materials (FCM)", 2015.
- Ministry of Environment and Food of Denmark, "Ban on fluorinated substances in paper and board food contact materials (FCM)", 2020.
- Brahm P. et al., "Analysis of PFAS Specified in EPA Method 537 using Shimadzu UFMS", Shimadzu Application News C184A (2019).
- Laurel A. Schaider et al., "Fluorinated Compounds in U.S. Fast Food Packaging", Environ Sci Technol Lett. 2017; 4(3): 105-111.

Shim-pack Velox is a trademark of Shimadzu Corporation or its affiliated companies in Japan and/or other countries.



Shimadzu Corporation

www.shimadzu.com/an/

SHIMADZU (Asia Pacific) Pte. Ltd, www.shimadzu.com.sg

For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures.

This publication may contain references to products that are not available in your country. Please contact us to check the availability of these products in your country.

The content of this publication shall not be reproduced, altered or sold for any commercial purpose without the written approval of Shimadzu. See http://www.shimadzu.com/about/trademarks/index.html for details.

Third party trademarks and trade names may be used in this publication to refer to either the entities or their products/services, whether or not

they are used with trademark symbol "TM" or "®". Shimadzu disclaims any proprietary interest in trademarks and trade names other than its own

The information contained herein is provided to you "as is" without warranty of any kind including without limitation warranties as to its accuracy or completeness. Shimadzu does not assume any responsibility or liability for any damage, whether direct or indirect, relating to the use of this publication. This publication is based upon the information available to Shimadzu on or before the date of publication, and subject to change without notice.

04-AD-0269-EN

First Edition: May 2024